| Appendix G: Comparative Summary of the Impacts of Parameter Changes in Model Sensitivity Analysis |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYDROLOGIC PARAMETER  | RANGE OF VALUES                         | NET INFLOW FROM GILA<br>RIVER<br>(acre feet/year) | GW OUTFLOW TO<br>NW<br>(acre feet/year) | NET FLOW TO<br>BONITA CR.<br>(acre feet/year) |
| Hydraulic conductivity of the Butte fault zone (feet/day)   | Higher 1.23 E-3 ft/d <sup>1</sup>       | 18,861 (-0.04%)                                   | 3,706 (0.05%)                           | 138 (-6.93%)                                  |
|   | 2002 Model 2.46 E-4 ft/d                | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower 2.21 E-4 ft/d <sup>2</sup>        | 19,139 (1.43%)                                    | 3,703 (-0.04%)                          | 149 (0.37%)                                   |
| Hydraulic conductivity of the volcanic bedrock south of the Butte fault (feet/day)                | Higher 1.6 E-3 to 82 <sup>1</sup>       | 18,870 (0.14%)                                    | 3,712 (0.21%)                           | 137 (-7.45%)                                  |
|   | 2002 Model 2.3 E-4 to 16.4              | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower 4.6 E-5 to 3.28 <sup>3</sup>      | 18,895 (-2.33%)                                   | 3,697 (-0.19%)                          | 180 (21.65%)                                  |
| Hydraulic conductivity of the volcanic bedrock north of the Butte fault (feet/day)                | Higher 1.6 E-3 to 4.1 E-2 <sup>1</sup>  | 18,435 (-2.33%)                                   | 3,779 (2.03%)                           | -375 <sup>4</sup>                             |
|   | 2002 Model 2.3E -4 to 8.2 E-3           | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower 2.07 E-4 to 7.37 E-3 <sup>2</sup> | 18,886 (0.09%)                                    | 3,701 (-0.08%)                          | 168 (13.31%)                                  |
| Net inflow from Peloncillo mts.,  | Higher 35,000                           | 16,761 (-11.17%)                                  | 3,823 (3.22%)                           | 149 (0.41%)                                   |
| San Simon valley, and Pinaleno  | 2002 Model 32,771                       | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
| mts.(acre feet/year)  | Lower 11,000                            | 37,040 (96.30%)                                   | 2,523 (-31.89%)                         | 142 (-3.89%)                                  |
| Hydraulic conductivity of the Lower Basin Fill aquifer (feet/day)                                 | Higher 500                              | 18,939 (0.37%)                                    | 3,774 (1.86%)                           | 148 (-0.20%)                                  |
|   | 2002 Model 10.6 to 11.2                 | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower 1                                 | 18,804 (-0.34%)                                   | 3,639 (-1.76%)                          | 148 (0.15%                                    |
| Hydraulic conductivity of the lakebeds of the Lower Basin Fill (feet/day)                         | Higher 10                               | 14,198 (-24.75%)                                  | 1,212 (-67.27%)                         | 138 (-6.48%)                                  |
|   | 2002 Model 0.394                        | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower 0.1                               | 21,818 (15.63%)                                   | 6,546 (76.73%)                          | 171 (15.59%)                                  |
| Mountain Front Recharge (acre feet/year)  | Higher 6000 <sup>5</sup>                | 14,995 (-20.53%)                                  | 4,030 (8.81%)                           | 196 (32.38%)                                  |
|   | 2002 Model 4,900                        | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower 4000 <sup>6</sup>                 | 19,487 (3.28%)                                    | 3,579 (-3.37%)                          | 26 (-82.40%)                                  |
| Riverbed conductance of the Gila River (feet²/day)  | Higher Variable <sup>1</sup>            | 18,614 (-0.29%)                                   | 3,707 (0.09%)                           | 150 (1.50%)                                   |
|   | Average ~ 42,000                        | 18,869  | 3,704                                   | 148   |
|   | Lower Variable <sup>3</sup>             | 20,079 (6.41%)                                    | 3,374 (-8.90%)                          | 146 (-1.45%)                                  |
| Net Irrigation Pumpage in the Safford Valley (acre feet/year)                                     | Higher 63,220                           | 28,385 (50.43%)                                   | 3,689 (-0.40%)                          | 148 (-0.06%)                                  |
|   | 2002 Model 52,683                       | 18,869  | 3704                                    | 148   |
|   | Lower 42,146                            | 8,036 (-57.41%)                                   | 3720 (0.44%)                            | 148 (0.21%)                                   |

 <sup>2002</sup> Model values for cells multiplied by 5.
2002 Model values for cells multiplied by 0.9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2002 Model Value for cells divided by 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The computed flow contains an unknown amount of error due to the use of the MODFLOW river package in the simulation of Bonita Creek. Had the MODFLOW stream package been used (planned change in the first model recalibration), the higher value of hydraulic conductivity would have probably flattened the hydraulic gradient bringing water to the stream, and causing the ground water divide to shift to the south. A southward shift in the divide would result in a greater area of recharge being included in the area contributing water to Bonita Creek, leading to an increase in the flow of Bonita Creek. 5 2002 Model value for cells multiplied by 1.22.

<sup>6 2002</sup> Model value for cells multiplied by 0.82